| | | FILED Clerk of the Superior Court | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | | SEP - 4 2020 | |
| 2 | | Adama holl for | |
| 3 | | By DEPUTY CLERK | |
| 4 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 5 | COUNTY OF SOLANO | | |
| 6 | DEPARTMENT EIGHT | | |
| 7 | * * * * | | |
| 8 | | Case No.: MISC 002743 | |
| 9 | In Re Unlawful Detainer Matters. | STANDING ORDER IMPLEMENTING | |
| 10 | | AB 3088 COVID-19 TENANT PROTECTION ACT AND CENTER | |
| 11 | | FOR DISEASE CONTROL MORATORIUM ON EVICTION | |
| 12 | | (EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 4, 2020) | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS. | | |
| 17 | THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS: | | |
| 18 | 1. On April 6, 2020, the Judicial Council issued Emergency Rule 1 which prohibited the | | |
| 19 | processing of summons and defaults in unlawful detainer matters with certain exceptions. | | |
| 20 | Emergency Rule 1 expired on September 1, 2020. During the period of April 6, 2020 through | | |
| 21 | September 1, 2020, the court received unlawful detainer actions for filing but did not release | | |
| 22 | the summons or conformed complaints unless as | expressly authorized by law. During the | |
| 23 | period of April 6, 2020 through September 1, 20 | 20, the court received requests for entry of | |
| 24 | default in pending unlawful detainer actions but did not process the defaults due to Emergency | | |
| 25 | Rule 1 unless as expressly authorized by law. | | |
| | | | |
| | - 1 STANDING ORDER IMPLEMENTING AB308 | | |

| 1 | 2. On August 31, 2020, the California Legislature adopted Assembly Bill 3088, the | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | COVID-19 Tenant Relief Act of 2020, adopted as urgency legislation with an effective date of | |
| 3 | September 2, 2020. AB 3088 restricts processing of certain residential unlawful detainers | |
| 4 | based upon a failure to pay rent after March 1, 2020, due to COVID-19 financial distress and | |
| 5 | establishes new procedures that must be followed prior to initiating a residential unlawful | |
| 6 | detainer. AB 3088 adopted Code of Civil Procedure, § 1179.01.5(c) which requires a plaintiff | |
| 7 | to file an Unlawful Detainer Supplemental Cover Sheet with specified contents. | |
| 8 | 3. To implement Code of Civil Procedure, § 1179.01.5(c), Local Form 3012-CV is | |
| 9 | adopted for non-mandatory use. | |
| 10 | 4. On September 1, 2020, the Center for Disease Control issued a federal agency order | |
| 11 | creating a moratorium on certain residential evictions due to failure to pay rent related to | |
| 12 | COVID-19 through December 31, 2020 (see federalregister.gov.d.2020-19654 to be published | |
| 13 | in Federal Register on September 4, 2020.). | |
| 14 | 5. Because the changes in the law require the court to retroactively and prospectively | |
| 15 | screen unlawful detainer cases for processing based upon the type of case, factual basis for the | |
| 16 | unlawful detainer and procedural protections operative on specific dates, plaintiffs seeking | |
| 17 | issuance of a summons or request for entry of default in a case that has been held for processing | |
| 18 | shall submit an Unlawful Detainer Supplemental Cover Sheet. For any new unlawful detainer | |
| 19 | action after September 2, 2020, plaintiff shall file an Unlawful Detainer Supplemental Cover | |
| 20 | Sheet. Parties may create their own form consistent with Code of Civil Procedure, | |
| 21 | §1179.01.5(c) or use Local Form 3012-CV. Because this form will assist the court to screen | |
| 22 | and process cases based upon state, federal and local law, the court strongly encourages | |
| 23 | litigants to utilize this form. Plaintiffs do not need to file an Unlawful Detainer Supplemental | |
| 24 | Cover Sheet in any case in which all parties have previously appeared and no judgment has yet | |
| 25 | issued. | |
| | | |

- 2 -

STANDING ORDER IMPLEMENTING AB3088 AND CDC MORATORIUM ON EVICTIONS

| 1 | 6. The CDC Moratorium on Evictions does not restrict the initiation of an action for | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | unlawful detainer. Because the issuance of a writ of possession is a ministerial function of the | |
| 3 | Clerk's office and because the execution of a writ of a possession by the Sheriff's Department | |
| 4 | is a ministerial duty, default judgments and judgments involving residential evictions will be | |
| 5 | screened by a judicial officer for compliance with applicable law, including the CDC | |
| 6 | Moratorium on Evictions, and only eligible judgments will be filed. Any party whose | |
| 7 | judgment is held for processing as a result of the application of the CDC Moratorium on | |
| 8 | Evictions will be timely notified of the determination by the court. | |
| 9 | 7. Due to the effect of emergency orders imposing limited operations, Emergency Rule 1, | |
| 10 | AB 3088 and the CDC moratorium on evictions, the court will not process notices of dismissal | |
| 11 | for unlawful detainer actions that are filed but not prosecuted within 60 days until April 5, | |
| 12 | 2021. (See CCP, § 1167.1; Cal. Rules of Court, standard 2.2(i).) | |
| 13 | 8. The court adopts this Standing Order and Local Form 3012-CV as a local rule, form and | |
| 14 | order necessary to address the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic to take effect immediately. | |
| 15 | (March 23, 2020, Statewide Order issued by Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, p. 2, ¶ 4.) | |
| 16 | In the event of a further change in law this standing order may be modified or rescinded as | |
| 17 | necessary. | |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Dated: September 4, 2020 | |
| 21 | Civil Supervising Judge Solano County Superior Court | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | - 3 - | |
| | STANDING ORDER IMPLEMENTING AB3088 AND CDC MORATORIUM ON EVICTIONS | |
| | | |
| | | |